UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: CHANTIX (VARENICLINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:09-CV-2039-IPJ MDL No. 2092

This Order Relates to:

PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 6: QUALIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS GENERATED BY A PARTY AS AUTHENTIC OR

BUSINESS RECORD

ALL CASES.

1. Order Applicable to All Cases in MDL Proceedings. This Order shall apply to all cases currently pending in MDL No. 2092 and to all related actions that have been or will be originally filed in, transferred to, or removed to this Court and assigned thereto (collectively, "the MDL proceedings"). This Order is binding on all parties and their counsel in all cases currently pending or subsequently made a part of these proceedings and shall govern each case in the proceedings unless it explicitly states that it relates only to specific cases.

- 2. Authenticity of Documents Provided or Generated By A Party.
- a. <u>Documents Presumed Authentic</u>. Documents produced and/or purportedly generated by any Party that purport to be copies of documents prepared or received by the Party, or by an officer, director, employee or agent of the Party, shall be presumed to be a faithful and authentic reproduction of the original and, subject to other applicable rules of evidence, admissible as such,

unless any objecting Party establishes, through a motion *in limine* or otherwise, that the document is not authentic. All other evidentiary objections other than authenticity are preserved.

- Party. For the purposes of this Order, a document in its entirety is deemed to have been generated by a Party only if that Party or one of its then-directors, officers, agents or employees created all of the document. If a Party or one of its then-directors, officers, agents or employees only created part of a document, such as a part of a chain of electronic mail, only that part of the document is deemed to have been generated by that Party.
- 3. <u>Business Records Introduced in Depositions.</u> Documents (whether produced by a Party or Non-Party) introduced as an exhibit during a deposition in these proceedings that purport to be copies of memoranda, reports, records or data compilations in any form of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoses presumptively shall be considered a business record of that Party within the meaning of Federal Rule of Evidence 803(6) or analogous applicable state court rules, subject to the following paragraphs.
- 4. Objections to Documents Marked as Exhibits in Depositions. Within 30 calendar days of the receipt of the transcript of a deposition hereafter taken in the coordinated and consolidated proceedings, any Party wishing to contest the

EAST\42886068.1

presumptive authenticity of any document(s) previously produced and/or purportedly generated by that Party that were placed into the record of that deposition as deposition exhibits shall advise Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Defendants' Liaison Counsel in writing of: (a) the deposition and exhibit number, as well as the Bates numbers, of any exhibit where the producing or purportedly generating Party claims lack of authenticity and/or failure to qualify as a business record within the meaning of Rule 803(6) or applicable analogous state court rules; and (b) a detailed statement (including if appropriate references to other pertinent documents and knowledgeable persons) of the grounds for the claim of lack of authenticity and/or the failure to qualify as a business record within the meaning of Rule 803(6) or applicable analogous state court rules. Any objection shall be deemed to have been made for all depositions for which the exhibit is used in the future. Any such exhibits not so identified within that time shall be deemed faithful and authentic reproductions of the original and/or (as the case may be) business records within the meaning of Rule 803(6) or applicable analogous state court rules. The Parties shall make a good faith effort not to mark deposition exhibits en masse for the sole purpose of bringing those exhibits within the scope of this paragraph.

5. <u>Documents Provided By Non Parties</u>. Documents produced and/or purportedly generated by a non-Party shall be presumed to be a faithful and

EAST\42886068.1

authentic reproduction of the original, unless any objecting Party establishes, through a motion *in limine* or otherwise, that the document is not authentic and/or fails to qualify as a business record of the non-Party within the meaning of Rule 803(6) or applicable analogous state court rules. Documents produced and/or purportedly generated by a non-Party that have been or, hereafter, are placed into the record as deposition exhibits in any deposition in the coordinated and consolidated proceedings shall be deemed to be faithful and authentic reproductions of the original and/or (as the case may be) business records within the meaning of Rule 803(6) or applicable analogous state court rules unless any Party raises an objection within the requisite time frames set forth above in paragraph 3 and 4.

- 6. Remedies After Notification of any Objection to Authenticity and/or
 Status as Business Record. Upon being notified that an exhibit is claimed to be
 inauthentic and/or fails to qualify as a business record, after meeting and
 conferring with opposing Liaison Counsel, either Liaison Counsel may, with
 consent of opposing Liaison Counsel or with leave of Court, initiate appropriate
 discovery limited to seeking to further establish authenticity and/or the status of the
 document as a business record.
- a. <u>Limited Scope of Deposition</u>. Any deposition noticed solely to establish the authenticity or business record status of a document shall be limited

EAST\42886068.1 4

strictly to that purpose for the specific document at issue and shall not address any other issues.

b. <u>Depositions of Common Pfizer Witnesses</u>. Where such a deposition is taken of a common Pfizer witness, that deposition shall not count as one of the five common Pfizer depositions that may be taken per month pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 4, §IV.B. However, any such deposition must be taken in accordance with the schedule established in Pretrial Order No. 4, §IV.A. This order shall not be construed to amend Pretrial Order No. 4 in any other fashion.

7. <u>Limitations of Order</u>.

- a. <u>No Effect on Other Rules of Evidence</u>. This Order addresses only the authenticity and/or business record status of any particular document, and is without prejudice to application of any other rule of evidence that may be implicated by a particular document.
- b. No Waiver of Objections to Similar Documents. The failure of any Party to object to any document shall not constitute an admission or concession by that Party that similar documents to which the Party does object are authentic and/or qualify as business records under applicable federal or state laws. For example, the failure to object to each email being treated as authentic and/or a business record does not foreclose such an objection to any individual email.

EAST\42886068.1 5

Case 2:09-cv-02039-IPJ Document 59 Filed 04/27/10 Page 6 of 6

8. Parties to Meet and Confer on Authentication and Business Record

Status. The Parties shall make good faith, cooperative efforts, through the meet

and confer process or otherwise, to resolve any issues concerning the authenticity

and/or business record status of documents subject to this Order so as to minimize

the time and resources of the parties and of the Court devoted to such matters.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 27, 2010

INGE PRYTZ JOHNSON

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

EAST\42886068.1